REMARKS

Claims 1-4, 11-14, 21-24 and 31-35 remain in this application. Claims 5-10, 15-20 and

25-30 were cancelled without prejudice. Claims 31-35 have been added. The amended and added

claims are supported by the specification and no new matter has been added. The Applicants

respectfully request reconsideration of this application in view of the above amendments and the

following remarks.

Oath/Declaration

Applicants respectfully submit that a signed and dated Oath/Declaration, in compliance

with 37 CFR 1.66 and 1.68, was filed on October 23, 2000. A copy of the Oath/Declaration,

filed on October 23, 2000, is attached herewith for the Examiner's review.

Drawing Corrections

Figures 1, 2, 5, 6, 9, and 13 were objected to by the Examiner for failing to comply with

37 CFR 1.84(p)(4). Applicants propose herein amendments to the objected drawing and/or the

corresponding description in the Specification to address the Examiner's concern. Applicants

will file formal drawings at the time of allowances of the application, if necessitated.

With regard to figures 1 and 2, new figures were submitted herewith to replace the

originally submitted figures 1 and 2 to overcome the Examiner's objection. Amendments to

figure 1 include removing item "110" and the line pointing to the item "110". Amendments to

figure 2 include adding "Package 210" and a rectangular box, which Package 210 represents,

14

surrounding items 220, 225, and 230.

With regard to figures 5, 6, 9, and 13, amendments to the corresponding descriptions in

the Specification were made to overcome the Examiner's objection.

Attorney Docket No.: 42390P8130

Accordingly, Applicants respectfully request the Examiner's approval with regard to the

proposed changes to the drawings and the corresponding descriptions in the Specification.

35 U.S.C. §112 Rejection

Claims 5, 15 and 25 stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 112, second paragraph, as being

indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which

applicant regards as the invention.

Applicants propose canceling claims 5, 15 and 25 and other claims (6-10, 16-20 and 26-

30) that directly or indirectly depended on claims 5, 15 and 25. Applicants respectfully request

the Examiner to accept the proposed cancellation, and submit that the cancellation of the claims

overcomes the rejection under 35 U.S.C. § 112, second paragraph.

With regard to the Examiner's question in reference to page 16, lines 16 of the

Specification, Applicants respectfully submit that on page 16, lines 16-18 have been amended

(see Amendments to the Specification). On page 16, lines 16-17, as amended, refer, generally, to

"annotations," as they relate to "linked list of data structures" (Specification, page 6, lines 16-17).

Furthermore, Figures 10-14 are provided to illustrate the handling of such linked lists "as it

relates to annotations" (see Specification, page 16, lines 17-18; see also Figures 10-14).

35 U.S.C. §102 Rejection - Adl-Tabatabai and Hölzle et al.

Claims 1-4, 11-14, 21-24 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by

Adl-Tabatabai, U.S. Patent No. 6,170,083. Claims 1, 2, 11-12 and 21-22 stand rejected under 35

U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Hölzle et al., U.S. Patent No. 5,970,249 ("Hölzle"),In

contrast, claim 1, in pertinent part, recites, "installing a program onto a target machine, the

program having intermediate representation . . . and recompiling the intermediate representation

to optimize the program when the current profile data in comparison with the initial profile data

has exceeded a predetermined threshold (emphasis provided).

Attorney Docket No.: 42390P8130 Application No.: 09/608,616 15

In contrast, claim 1, in pertinent part, recites, "installing a program onto a target machine, the program having intermediate representation . . . and recompiling the intermediate representation to

optimize the program when the current profile data in comparison with the initial profile data has

exceeded a predetermined threshold (emphasis provided).

Adl-Tabatabai discloses "[w]hile compiling, the method introduces instrumentation code

into the object code that performs path profiling" (Abstract). Stated differently, Adl-Tabatabai

discloses introducing instrumentation code into the code to be optimized and "determines which

execution paths are executed most often by counting the number of times each possible execution

path is executed" (Abstract). Applicants respectfully submit that introducing instrumentation

code into the object code is not the same as having a program having intermediate representation

as recited by claim 1. Adl-Tabatabai does not teach or reasonably suggest a program having

intermediate representation and recompiling the intermediate representation to optimize the

program as recited by claim 1.

With regard to Hölzle, it discloses dynamic compilation of identified methods

"referenced in one or more lists" and "the lists may be prioritized to facilitate the compilation of

the highest priority methods first . . . [and] the compilation is allowed to continue for a

predetermined period of time . . . [and] [i]f the compilation is not completed during the

predetermined period of time, then the compilation is aborted" (col. 2, lines 35-50). First, Hölzle

does not teach or suggest a program having intermediate representation and recompiling the

intermediate representation to optimize the program as recited by claim 1. Second, the threshold

referred to in Hölzle relates to a predetermined period of time and to aborting the compilation if

not completed during the predetermined period of time (col. 2, lines 46-50). Applicants

respectfully submit that this is not the same as "comparing the current profile data with the initial

profile data; and recompiling the intermediate representation to optimize the program when the

current profile data in comparison with the initial profile data has exceeded a predetermined

threshold as recited by claim 1.

Attorney Docket No.: 42390P8130 Application No.: 09/608,616 Accordingly, for at least the reasons set forth above, Applicants respectfully request that

the rejection to claim 1 and its dependent claims be withdrawn.

With regard to independent claims 11 and 21, they contain limitations similar to those of

claim 1. Accordingly, Applicants respectfully request that the rejection to claims 11 and 21 and

their dependent claims be withdrawn.

With regard to new claim 31, it contains limitations similar to those of claim 1.

Accordingly, Applicants respectfully request that the rejection to claim 31 and its dependent

claims be withdrawn.

35 U.S.C. §103 Rejection - Adl-Tabatabai and Hölzle et al.

Claims 6-10, 16-20, 26-30 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over

either Adl-Tabatabai, U.S. Patent No. 6,170,083 and Hölzle et al., U.S. Patent No. 5,970,249

("Hölzle").

With regard to claim 6-10, 16-20, and 26-30, they are dependent on independent claims 1,

11, and 21, respectively. Accordingly, Applicants respectfully request that the rejection to claims

17

6-10, 16-20, and 26-30 be withdrawn.

Attorney Docket No.: 42390P8130

Conclusion

In view of the foregoing, it is believed that all claims now pending patentably define the

subject invention over the prior art of record and are in condition for allowance. Applicants

respectfully request that the rejections be withdrawn and the claims be allowed at the earliest

possible date.

Request For Telephone Interview

The Examiner is invited to call Brent E. Vecchia at (303) 740-1980 if there remains any

issue with allowance of the case.

Request For An Extension Of Time

The Applicants respectfully petition for an extension of time to respond to the

outstanding Office Action pursuant to 37 C.F.R. § 1.136(a) should one be necessary. Please

charge our Deposit Account No. 02-2666 to cover the necessary fee under 37 C.F.R. § 1.17 for

such an extension.

Respectfully submitted,

BLAKELY, SOKOLOFF, TAYLOR & ZAFMAN LLP

Date: Nov. 7 2003

Brent E. Vecchia

Reg. No. 48,011

12400 Wilshire Boulevard Seventh Floor Los Angeles, California 90025-1030

(303) 740-1980

18